

ADDENDUM 2 REMOVAL OF STAGE 4: PRICING AND SPECIFIC GOALS

PRICING AND SPECIFIC GOALS - (To be included with the financial offer and will be evaluated upon issuing the RFQ/Task Order.)

The preference points that will be utilized to calculate the highest scorer at the time of awarding a Task Order (TO) / Purchase Order (PO) will be as follows:

FORMULAE FOR PROCUREMENT OF GOODS AND SERVICES

POINTS AWARDED FOR PRICE

THE 80/20 PREFERENCE POINT SYSTEMS

A maximum of 80 points is allocated for price on the following basis:

80/20

$$PS = 80 \left(1 - \frac{Pt - P_{min}}{P_{min}} \right)$$

Where

Ps = Points scored for price of tender under consideration

Pt = Price of tender under consideration

Pmin = Price of lowest acceptable tender

POINTS AWARDED FOR SPECIFIC GOALS

- 3.1. In terms of Regulation 4(2); 5(2); 6(2) and 7(2) of the Preferential Procurement Regulations, preference points must be awarded for specific goals stated in the tender. For the purposes of this tender the tenderer will be allocated points based on the goals stated in table 1 below as may be supported by proof/ documentation stated in the conditions of this tender:
- 3.2. In cases where organs of state intend to use Regulation 3(2) of the Regulations, which states that, if it is unclear whether the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system applies, an organ of state must, in the tender documents, stipulate in the case of—
 - (a) an invitation for tender for income-generating contracts, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the highest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system; or
 - (b) any other invitation for tender, that either the 80/20 or 90/10 preference point system will apply and that the lowest acceptable tender will be used to determine the applicable preference point system,then the organ of state must indicate the points allocated for specific goals for both the 90/10 and 80/20 preference point system.

Table 1: Specific goals for the tender and points claimed are indicated per the table below.

(Note to organs of state: Where either the 90/10 or 80/20 preference point system is applicable, corresponding points must also be indicated as such.

Note to tenderers: The tenderer must indicate how they claim points for each preference point system.)

The specific goals allocated points in terms of this tender	Number of points allocated (80/20 system) (To be completed by the organ of state)	Number of points claimed (80/20 system) (To be completed by the tenderer)	Evidence required for specific goals
Black Women Owned	10		Certified copy of the identity document of the owner/s
Black Youth Owned	5		Certified copy of the identity document of the owner/s
Black person with Disabilities	5		Certified copy of ID Documents of the Owners and Doctors Note confirming the disability.
TOTAL	20		

NOTE:

- A CIPC Registration Documents/CSD Registration Report will be used to verify the above evidence.
- Points will be awarded/allocated on a proportional/pro-rata basis.

Formula: $\text{Number of Points allocated} \times \text{Percentage (\%)} \text{ owned} = \text{Number of Points Claimed}$

4 OBJECTIVE CRITERIA

4.4 Section 2(1)(f) of the PPPFA empowers an organ of state to award a tender to the highest scoring bidder unless there is an objective criterion that justifies the award to another tenderer.

4.5 PRASA reserves the right to apply the objective criteria for this bid.

4.6 PRASA may award a bid to a bidder that did not score the highest points under the following circumstances:

- a) A negative track record of the bidder in other related projects;